



Physician Privileges – Everything You Wanted to Know But Were Afraid to Ask **Part I: The Disruptive Physician**

Joshua Liswood Kelly Sinclair

DISCLAIMER

This Coffee Talk presentation is provided as an information service and is not meant to be taken as legal opinion or advice. Please do not act on the information provided in this presentation without seeking specific legal advice.

© Miller Thomson LLP, 2011 All Rights Reserved. All Intellectual Property Rights including copyright in this presentation are owned by Miller Thomson LLP. This presentation may be reproduced and distributed in its current state. Any other form of reproduction or distribution requires the prior written consent of Miller Thomson LLP which may be requested at healtheditor@millerthomson.com



- 1. The Legislative and Common Law Environment
- 2. Appointment Disputes
- 3. A Remedial Approach
- 4. Non-legal Interventions
- 5. Policies and Managing the Risk



THE PROBLEM PHYSICIAN

Disruptive behaviour can be defined as any action, verbal or physical, which is disrespectful of others and adversely affects staff morale or service provision. It can include behaviours inconsistent with rules and policies as well as behaviours that put patients or staff at risk.



PUBLIC HOSPITALS ACT

- Medical Advisory Committee s. 35
 - Consider and review
 - Recommendations re: appointment and reappointment
 - Other duties as assigned by Act or Board



- Board Powers s.36
 - Appointment
 - Privileges
 - Revoke, suspend or deny



- Appointment/Reappointment Process s.37
 - Physician entitled to apply or reapply; M.A.C. to consider recommendation 60 days
 - MAC may delay recommendation
 - Notice to applicant and Board
 - Physician right to reasons & hearing



COMMON LAW OBLIGATIONS

- Board has duty to appointment physicians that
 - Meet the needs of the community
 - Effectively utilize the resources of the hospital
 - Are skilled & experienced
 - Will work as an effective member of the healthcare team (collaborative, respectful, ensure pt. and staff safety)



APPOINTMENT DISPUTES

Foundation for Review: Appointment & Re-Appointment

- Competency and quality of care
- Manpower need and resource availability
- Collegiality
 - Team work
 - Interpersonal behaviors
 - Compliance with rules



Foundation for Action: Immediate

- An issue of patient safety
- Immediate risk supporting extreme action
- Urgent accommodated risk
- Authority of Chief of Staff, Administrator and Board



A REMEDIAL APPROACH

- Effective procedures will allow issues to be handled in a non-adversarial environment
- Having a comprehensive complaints process can allow some flexibility in the approach that a hospital will take in addressing problems
- Opportunity for an informal resolution may be possible
- Engagement of counsel
- Engagement of PHP



- Where there is a successful outcome:
 - comprehensive agreement with respect to performance expectations;
 - continuation of services;
 - accountable re: future occurrences;
 - avoidance of a black mark



Remediation vs Discipline:

- Zero Tolerance
- Repeat Behaviour
- Insight
- Practical Opportunity for Remediation
 - supervision
 - mentors
 - level of retraining



NON-LEGAL INTERVENTION

- OMA
- CPSO
- Private Behavioural Programs
 - emotional and anger management
- Independent Investigation and Mediation



POLICIES AND MANAGING THE RISK

- Code of Conduct Policy
- Complaints Policy
- Harassment and Abuse
- Root Cause Policies
 - Call and Coverage
 - Succession Planning
 - Patient Transfer



PART II: COMPETENCY ISSUES & REPORTING

• Stay tuned...



QUESTIONS?

Thank You! Joshua Liswood 416.595.8525 jliswood@millerthomson.com Kelly Sinclair 416.595.7931 ksinclair@millerthomson.com



www.millerthomson.com

Added experience. Added clarity. Added value.

Follow us...



